

## UNITED STA. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/874781

1017701				
PLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/874,78	1 06/13/	97 JOHNSON	В	C348 12-0013

33M1/0128

THEODORE F NEILS KINNEY AND LANGE 625 FOURTH AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 1500 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415-1659

AGHED V		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

EXAMINER

3312

26

# U.S. GPO: 1996-421-632/40208

**DATE MAILED:** 01/28/98

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9/96)

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/5/97				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).				
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1-7, 10, 13, 14-16, 18, 19, 3/ £/ 33 is/are pending in the application.  Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) /-7, 10, 12, 14-16, 18, 19, 3/ £/ 3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed onisapproved disapproved.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been				
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)				

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Serial Number: 08/874,781

Art Unit: 3312

5

The status of the claims is as follows:

The claims currently before the Examiner are those allowed in the parent file, 08/615,814. Amendments after final B-E remain non-entered. Amendment F was entered in the parent file and remains entered in the current file. Specifically, the claims pending are 1, 10, 12, 14, 15, and 23 as filed in F (5/21/1997); 2 and 4 as filed in A (11/12/1996); and 3, 5-7, 16, 18, 19, and 21 as originally filed in 08/615,814 (3/14/1996).

- 10 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to 15 the date of application for patent in the United States.
  - Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14-16, 18, 19, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Spanish patent # 289561 (hereinafter referred to as '561).
- 20 With regard to claim 1, contrast figures 1C and 2C with figure 3 for the planar vs. bent conditions. With regard to claim 2, see the embodiment of figures 2a-d and 3.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

25 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not 30 be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 08/874,781

Art Unit: 3312

5

10

15

20

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over '561.

Claim 5 recites an "interwoven piece of fabric". '561 teaches the use of "sticking plaster" for material B which would appear to meet this limitation. It is the Examiner's understanding that "sticking plaster" is a European term used to refer to bandage materials such as gauze. In any event, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a sterile, non-irritating, oil-absorbing material for B of '561 because it contacts the nose in use. Claim 7 recites "release liners". Given that '561 teaches on page 5, paragraph 4, that the nasal bandage can be packaged with the adhesive already on it, it would appear inherent that release liners are present for useability. In any event, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have so provided the '561 device so as to allow the bandages to be removed from their package and stuck on the nose just as adhesive bandages sold under the trademark "BAND-AID"TM are.

Claims 1-7, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, and 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of copending patent numbers 5533499, 5533503, 5549103, and 5476091. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully 25 disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as is clearly seen by comparison of

Serial Number: 08/874,781

Art Unit: 3312

the claims. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP 804.

5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner K. L. Asher at telephone number (703) 308-0858.

10 Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858.

Status inquiries are to be handled according to MPEP section 203, and directed to the Group receptionist, not the Examiner.

15

Inquiries as to Terminal Disclaimer and PCT requirements should be directed to the Group Paralegal, Mr. Andre Robinson, at (703) 308-2104.

The facsimile phone number for Art Unit 3312 is (703) 308 - 3139. <u>PLEASE CALL THE</u>

20 <u>EXAMINER PRIOR TO SENDING ANY FAX.</u> This will ensure that the Examiner receives the fax promptly.

January 23, 1998

25

KIMBERLY L. ASHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300